

APPLICATION NO.

10/658,711

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov	
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
23085-08273	6981
EXAM	INER
STOUFFER	KELLY M

758 7590 10/20/2006 FENWICK & WEST LLP SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041

FILING DATE

09/08/2003

1762

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Avetik Harutyunyan

·			ν		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>-</del>		
	10/658,711	HARUTYUNYAN, AVETIK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kelly Stouffer	1762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
• •	VIC CET TO EVOIDE 4 MONTH	J(C) OD TUIDTY (20) DAVC			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (136), cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 C	October 2006.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application	ı <b>.</b>				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	, ·				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		:			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, process of the contract of t	-, (-, -, (-,-	•		
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applica	ation No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been recei	ved in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	• • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ved.			
		·	• •		
Attachment(s)	🗖 .				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) La Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔃 Notice of Informal				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	:			

Art Unit: 1762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The response filed on 5 October 2006 was informal because the current status of the claims was not given. In addition, the previous Restriction requirement of 5 September 2006 is withdrawn and is replaced by the following.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a process, classified in class 427, subclass 248.1.
- II. Claims 20-27, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass715.
- III. Claim 28-42, drawn to a product, classified in class 428, subclass 689+.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in group I and in group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as sputtering.

Inventions in group I and in group III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially

Art Unit: 1762

different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product such as a film of carbon on a silicon oxide, aluminum oxide or magnesium oxide substrate. Claims 29-42 are drawn to a product as a product by process claim.

Inventions in group II and in group III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product such as a product made by sputtering. The product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus such as any chemical vapor or physical vapor deposition apparatus.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Narinder Banait on 29 August 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1762

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Stouffer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2668. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kelly Stouffer Examiner Art Unit 1762

kms

/ TIMOTHY MEEKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER